Baltic Way 2006 Turku, November 3, 2006

Problems and solutions

1. For a sequence a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots of real numbers it is known that

 $a_n = a_{n-1} + a_{n+2}$ for $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$

What is the largest number of its consecutive elements that can all be positive? **Answer: 5**.

Solution: The initial segment of the sequence could be 1; 2; 3; 1; 1; -2; 0. Clearly it is enough to consider only initial segments. For each sequence the first 6 elements are a_1 ; a_2 ; a_3 ; $a_2 - a_1$; $a_3 - a_2$; $a_2 - a_1 - a_3$. As we see, $a_1 + a_5 + a_6 = a_1 + (a_3 - a_2) + (a_2 - a_1 - a_3) = 0$. So all the elements a_1 , a_5 , a_6 can not be positive simultaneously.

2. Suppose that the real numbers $a_i \in [-2, 17]$, i = 1, 2, ..., 59, satisfy $a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_{59} = 0$. *Prove that*

$$a_1^2 + a_2^2 + \dots + a_{59}^2 \le 2006.$$

Solution: For convenience denote m = -2 and M = 17. Then

$$\left(a_i-\frac{m+M}{2}\right)^2\leq \left(\frac{M-m}{2}\right)^2,$$

because $m \le a_i \le M$. So we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{59} \left(a_i - \frac{m+M}{2}\right)^2 = \sum_i a_i^2 + 59 \cdot \left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right)^2 - (m+M) \sum_i a_i$$
$$\leq 59 \cdot \left(\frac{M-m}{2}\right)^2,$$

and thus

$$\sum_{i} a_{i}^{2} \leq 59 \cdot \left(\left(\frac{M-m}{2} \right)^{2} - \left(\frac{m+M}{2} \right)^{2} \right) = -59 \cdot m \cdot M = 2006.$$

3. Prove that for every polynomial P(x) with real coefficients there exist a positive integer *m* and polynomials $P_1(x), P_2(x), \ldots, P_m(x)$ with real coefficients such that

$$P(x) = (P_1(x))^3 + (P_2(x))^3 + \dots + (P_m(x))^3.$$

Solution: We will prove by induction on the degree of P(x) that all polynomials can be represented as a sum of cubes. This is clear for constant polynomials. Now we proceed to the inductive step. It is sufficient to show that if P(x) is a polynomial of degree n, then there exist polynomials $Q_1(x), Q_2(x), ..., Q_r(x)$ such that the polynomial

$$P(x) - (Q_1(x))^3 - (Q_2(x))^3 - \cdots - (Q_r(x))^3$$

has degree at most n - 1. Assume that the coefficient of x^n in P(x) is equal to c. We consider three cases: If n = 3k, we put r = 1, $Q_1(x) = \sqrt[3]{c}x^k$; if n = 3k + 1 we put r = 3,

$$Q_1(x) = \sqrt[3]{\frac{c}{6}} x^k(x-1), \qquad Q_2(x) = \sqrt[3]{\frac{c}{6}} x^k(x+1), \qquad Q_3(x) = -\sqrt[3]{\frac{c}{3}} x^{k+1};$$

and if n = 3k + 2 we put r = 2 and

$$Q_1(x) = \sqrt[3]{\frac{c}{3}} x^k (x+1),$$
 $Q_2(x) = -\sqrt[3]{\frac{c}{3}} x^{k+1}.$

This completes the induction.

4. Let *a*, *b*, *c*, *d*, *e*, *f* be non-negative real numbers satisfying a + b + c + d + e + f = 6. Find the maximal possible value of

$$abc + bcd + cde + def + efa + fab$$

and determine all 6-tuples (a, b, c, d, e, f) for which this maximal value is achieved. **Answer:** 8.

Solution: If we set a = b = c = 2, d = e = f = 0, then the given expression is equal to 8. We will show that this is the maximal value. Applying the inequality between arithmetic and geometric mean we obtain

$$8 = \left(\frac{(a+d) + (b+e) + (c+f)}{3}\right)^3 \ge (a+d)(b+e)(c+f)$$

= $(abc + bcd + cde + def + efa + fab) + (ace + bdf),$

so we see that $abc + bcd + cde + def + efa + fab \le 8$ and the maximal value 8 is achieved when a + d = b + e = c + f (and then the common value is 2 because a + b + c + d + e + f = 6) and ace = bdf = 0, which can be written as (a, b, c, d, e, f) = (a, b, c, 2 - a, 2 - b, 2 - c) with ac(2 - b) = b(2 - a)(2 - c) = 0. From this it follows that (a, b, c) must have one of the forms (0, 0, t), (0, t, 2), (t, 2, 2), (2, 2, t), (2, t, 0) or (t, 0, 0). Therefore the maximum is achieved for the 6-tuples (a, b, c, d, e, f) = (0, 0, t, 2, 2, 2 - t), where $0 \le t \le 2$, and its cyclic permutations.

5. An occasionally unreliable professor has devoted his last book to a certain binary operation *. When this operation is applied to any two integers, the result is again an integer. The operation is known to satisfy the following axioms:

- (a) x * (x * y) = y for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$;
- (b) (x * y) * y = x for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The professor claims in his book that

- (C1) the operation * is commutative: x * y = y * x for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (C2) the operation * is associative: (x * y) * z = x * (y * z) for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Which of these claims follow from the stated axioms?

Answer: (C1) is true; (C2) is false.

Solution: Write (x, y, z) for x * y = z. So the axioms can be formulated as

$$(x, y, z) \implies (x, z, y) \tag{1}$$

$$(x,y,z) \implies (z,y,x).$$
 (2)

(C1) is proved by the sequence $(x, y, z) \stackrel{(2)}{\Longrightarrow} (z, y, x) \stackrel{(1)}{\Longrightarrow} (z, x, y) \stackrel{(2)}{\Longrightarrow} (y, x, z)$. A counterexample for (C2) is the operation x * y = -(x + y). **6.** Determine the maximal size of a set of positive integers with the following properties:

- (1) The integers consist of digits from the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$.
- (2) No digit occurs more than once in the same integer.
- (3) The digits in each integer are in increasing order.
- (4) Any two integers have at least one digit in common (possibly at different positions).
- (5) There is no digit which appears in all the integers.

Answer: 32.

Solution: Associate with any a_i the set M_i of its digits. By (??), (??) and (??) the numbers are uniquely determined by their associated subsets of $\{1, 2, ..., 6\}$. By (??) the sets are intersecting. Partition the 64 subsets of $\{1, 2, ..., 6\}$ into 32 pairs of complementary sets $(X, \{1, 2, ..., 6\} - X)$. Obviously, at most one of the two sets in such a pair can be a M_i , since the two sets are non-intersecting. Hence, $n \leq 32$. Consider the 22 subsets with at least four elements and the 10 subsets with three elements containing 1. Hence, n = 32.

7. A photographer took some pictures at a party with 10 people. Each of the 45 possible pairs of people appears together on exactly one photo, and each photo depicts two or three people. What is the smallest possible number of photos taken?

Answer: 19.

Solution: Let *x* be the number of triplet photos (depicting three people, that is, three pairs) and let *y* be the number of pair photos (depicting two people, that is, one pair). Then 3x + y = 45.

Each person appears with nine other people, and since 9 is odd, each person appears on at least one pair photo. Thus $y \ge 5$, so that $x \le 13$. The total number of photos is $x + y = 45 - 2x \ge 45 - 2 \cdot 13 = 19$.

On the other hand, 19 photos will suffice. We number the persons $0, 1, \ldots, 9$, and will proceed to specify 13 triplet photos. We start with making triplets without common pairs of the persons 1–8:

123, 345, 567, 781

Think of the persons 1–8 as arranged in order around a circle. Then the persons in each triplet above are separated by at most one person. Next we make triplets containing 0, avoiding previously mentioned pairs by combining 0 with two people among the persons 1–8 separated by two persons:

014,085,027,036

Then we make triplets containing 9, again avoiding previously mentioned pairs by combining 9 with the other four possibilities of two people among 1–8 being separated by two persons:

916, 925, 938, 947

Finally, we make our last triplet, again by combining people from 1–8: 246. Here 2 and 4, and 4 and 6, are separated by one person, but those pairs were not accounted for in the first list, whereas 2 and 6 are separated by three persons, and have not been paired before. We now have 13 photos of 39 pairs. The remaining 6 pairs appear on 6 pair photos.

Remark: This problem is equivalent to asking how many complete 3-graphs can be packed (without common edges) into a complete 10-graph.

8. The director has found out that six conspiracies have been set up in his department, each of them involving exactly three persons. Prove that the director can split the department in two laboratories so that none of the conspirative groups is entirely in the same laboratory.

Solution: Let the department consist of *n* persons. Clearly n > 4 (because $\binom{4}{3} < 6$). If n = 5, take three persons who do not make a conspiracy and put them in one laboratory, the other two in another. If n = 6, note that $\binom{6}{3} = 20$, so we can find a three-person set such that neither it nor its complement is a conspiracy; this set will form one laboratory. If $n \ge 7$, use induction. We have $\binom{n}{2} \ge \binom{7}{2} = 21 > 6 \cdot 3$, so there are two persons *A* and *B* who are not together in any conspiracy. Replace *A* and *B* by a new person *AB* and use the inductive hypothesis; then replace *AB* by initial persons *A* and *B*.

9. To every vertex of a regular pentagon a real number is assigned. We may perform the following operation repeatedly: we choose two adjacent vertices of the pentagon and replace each of the two numbers assigned to these vertices by their arithmetic mean. Is it always possible to obtain the position in which all five numbers are zeroes, given that in the initial position the sum of all five numbers is equal to zero?

Answer: No.

Solution: We will show that starting from the numbers $-\frac{1}{5}$, $-\frac{1}{5}$, $-\frac{1}{5}$, $-\frac{1}{5}$, $-\frac{1}{5}$, $\frac{1}{5}$, $-\frac{1}{5}$, $\frac{1}{5}$, $-\frac{1}{5}$, $\frac{1}{5}$, \frac

10. 162 pluses and 144 minuses are placed in a 30×30 table in such a way that each row and each column contains at most 17 signs. (No cell contains more than one sign.) For every plus we count the number of minuses in its row and for every minus we count the number of pluses in its column. Find the maximum of the sum of these numbers.

Answer: $1296 = 72 \cdot 18$.

Solution: In the statement of the problem there are two kinds of numbers: "horizontal" (that has been counted for pluses) and "vertical" (for minuses). We will show that the sum of numbers of each type reaches its maximum on the same configuration.

We restrict our attention to the horizontal numbers only. Consider an arbitrary row. Let it contains p pluses and m minuses, $m + p \le 17$. Then the sum that has been counted for pluses in this row is equal to mp. Let us redistribute this sum between all signs in the row. More precisely, let us write the number mp/(m + p) in every nonempty cell in the row. Now the whole "horizontal" sum equals to the sum of all 306 written numbers.

Now let us find the maximal possible contribution of each sign in this sum. That is, we ask about maximum of the expression f(m, p) = mp/(m + p) where $m + p \le 17$. Remark that f(m, p) is an increasing function of m. Therefore if m + p < 17 then increasing of m will also increase the value of f(m, p). Now if m + p = 17 then f(m, p) = m(17 - m)/17 and, obviously, it has maximum 72/17 when m = 8 or m = 9.


So all the 306 summands in the horizontal sum will be maximal if we find a configuration in which every non-empty row contains 9 pluses and 8 minuses. The similar statement holds for the vertical sum. In order to obtain the desired configuration take a square 18×18 and draw pluses on 9 generalized diagonals and minuses on 8 other generalized diagonals (the 18th generalized diagonal remains empty).

11. *The altitudes of a triangle are* 12*,* 15 *and* 20*. What is the area of the triangle?* **Answer:** 150.

Solution: Denote the sides of the triangle by *a*, *b* and *c* and its altitudes by h_a , h_b and h_c . Then we know that $h_a = 12$, $h_b = 15$ and $h_c = 20$. By the well known relation $a: b = h_b: h_a$ it follows $b = \frac{h_a}{h_b}a = \frac{12}{15}a = \frac{4}{5}a$. Analogously, $c = \frac{h_a}{h_c}a = \frac{12}{20}a = \frac{3}{5}a$. Thus half of the triangle's circumference is $s = \frac{1}{2}(a + b + c) = \frac{1}{2}(a + \frac{4}{5}a + \frac{3}{5}a) = \frac{6}{5}a$. For the area Δ of the triangle we have $\Delta = \frac{1}{2}ah_a = \frac{1}{2}a = 12 = 6a$, and also by the well known Heron formula $\Delta = \sqrt{s(s-a)(s-b)(s-c)} = \sqrt{\frac{6}{5}a \cdot \frac{1}{5}a \cdot \frac{2}{5}a \cdot \frac{3}{5}a} = \sqrt{\frac{6^2}{5^4}a^4} = \frac{6}{25}a^2$. Hence, $6a = \frac{6}{25}a^2$, and we get a = 25 (b = 20, c = 15) and consequently $\Delta = 150$.

12. Let ABC be a triangle, let B_1 be the midpoint of the side AB and C_1 the midpoint of the side AC. Let P be the point of intersection, other than A, of the circumscribed circles around the triangles ABC₁ and AB₁C. Let P₁ be the point of intersection, other than A, of the line AP with the circumscribed circle around the triangle AB₁C₁. Prove that $2AP = 3AP_1$.

Solution: Since $\angle PBB_1 = \angle PBA = 180^\circ - \angle PC_1A = \angle PC_1C$ and $\angle PCC_1 = \angle PCA = 180^\circ - \angle PB_1A = \angle PB_1B$ it follows that $\triangle PBB_1$ is similar to $\triangle PC_1C$. Let B_2 and C_2 be the midpoints of BB_1 and CC_1 respectively. It follows that $\angle BPB_2 = \angle C_1PC_2$ and hence $\angle B_2PC_2 = \angle BPC_1 = 180^\circ - \angle BAC$, which implies that AB_2PC_2 lie on a circle. By similarity it is now clear that $AP/AP_1 = AB_2/AB_1 = AC_2/AC_1 = 3/2$.



13. In a triangle ABC, points D, E lie on sides AB, AC respectively. The lines BE and CD intersect at F. Prove that if

$$BC^2 = BD \cdot BA + CE \cdot CA,$$

then the points A, D, F, E lie on a circle.

Solution: Let *G* be a point on the segment *BC* determined by the condition $BG \cdot BC = BD \cdot BA$. (Such a point exists because $BD \cdot BA < BC^2$.) Then the points *A*, *D*, *G*, *C* lie on a circle. Moreover, we have

 $CE \cdot CA = BC^2 - BD \cdot BA = BC \cdot (BG + CG) - BC \cdot BG = CB \cdot CG,$

hence the points A, B, G, E lie on a circle as well. Therefore

$$\angle DAG = \angle DCG, \qquad \angle EAG = \angle EBG,$$

which implies that

$$\angle DAE + \angle DFE = \angle DAG + \angle EAG + \angle BFC$$
$$= \angle DCG + \angle EBG + \angle BFC.$$

But the sum on the right side is the sum of angles in $\triangle BFC$. Thus $\angle DAE + \angle DFE = 180^{\circ}$, and the desired result follows.

14. *There are* 2006 *points marked on the surface of a sphere. Prove that the surface can be cut into* 2006 *congruent pieces so that each piece contains exactly one of these points inside it.*

Solution: Choose a North Pole and a South Pole so that no two points are on the same parallel and no point coincides with either pole. Draw parallels through each point. Divide each of these parallels into 2006 equal arcs so that no point is the endpoint of any arc. In the sequel, "to connect two points" means to draw the smallest arc of the great circle passing through these points. Denote the points of division by $A_{i,j}$, where *i* is the number of the parallel counting from North to South (i = 1, 2, ..., 2006), and $A_{i,1}, A_{i,2}, ..., A_{i,2006}$ are the points of division on the *i*'th parallel, where the numbering is chosen such that the marked point on the *i*'th parallel lies between $A_{i,i}$ and $A_{i,i+1}$.

Consider the lines connecting gradually

$$N - A_{1,1} - A_{2,1} - A_{3,1} - \dots - A_{2006,1} - S$$

$$N - A_{1,2} - A_{2,2} - A_{3,2} - \dots - A_{2006,2} - S$$

$$\vdots$$


$$N - A_{1,2006} - A_{2,2006} - A_{3,2006} - \dots - A_{2006,2006} - S$$

These lines divide the surface of the sphere into 2006 parts which are congruent by rotation; each part contains one of the given points.

15. Let the medians of the triangle ABC intersect at the point M. A line t through M intersects the circumcircle of ABC at X and Y so that A and C lie on the same side of t. Prove that $BX \cdot BY = AX \cdot AY + CX \cdot CY$.


Solution: Let us start with a lemma: If the diagonals of an inscribed quadrilateral *ABCD* intersect at *O*, then $\frac{AB \cdot BC}{AD \cdot DC} = \frac{BO}{OD}$. Indeed,

$$\frac{AB \cdot BC}{AD \cdot DC} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}AB \cdot BC \cdot \sin B}{\frac{1}{2}AD \cdot DC \cdot \sin D} = \frac{\operatorname{area}(ABC)}{\operatorname{area}(ADC)} = \frac{h_1}{h_2} = \frac{BO}{OD}.$$



Now we have (from the lemma) $\frac{AX \cdot AY}{BX \cdot BY} = \frac{AR}{RB}$ and $\frac{CX \cdot CY}{BX \cdot BY} = \frac{CS}{SB}$, so we have to prove $\frac{AR}{RB} + \frac{CS}{SB} = 1$.

Suppose at first that the line *RS* is not parallel to *AC*. Let *RS* intersect *AC* at *K* and the line parallel to *AC* through *B* at *L*. So $\frac{AR}{RB} = \frac{AK}{BL}$ and $\frac{CS}{SB} = \frac{CK}{BL}$; we must prove that AK + CK = BL. But $AK + CK = 2KB_1$, and $BL = \frac{BM}{MB_1} \cdot KB_1 = 2KB_1$, completing the proof.



If $RS \parallel AC$, the conclusion is trivial.

16. *Are there four distinct positive integers such that adding the product of any two of them to 2006 yields a perfect square?*

Answer: No, there are no such integers.

Solution: Suppose there are such integers. Let us consider the situation modulo 4. Then each square is 0 or 1. But $2006 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. So the product of each two supposed numbers must be 2 (mod 4) or 3 (mod 4). From this it follows that there are at least three odd numbers (because the product of two even numbers is 0 (mod 4)). Two of these odd numbers are congruent modulo 4, so their product is 1 (mod 4), which is a contradiction.

17. Determine all positive integers n such that $3^n + 1$ is divisible by n^2 .

Answer: Only n = 1 satisfies the given condition.

Solution: First observe that if $n^2 | 3^n + 1$, then *n* must be odd, because if *n* is even, then 3^n is a square of an odd integer, hence $3^n + 1 \equiv 1 + 1 = 2 \pmod{4}$, so $3^n + 1$ cannot be divisible by n^2 which is a multiple of 4.

Assume that for some n > 1 we have $n^2 | 3^n + 1$. Let p be the smallest prime divisor of n. We have shown that p > 2. It is also clear that $p \neq 3$, since $3^n + 1$ is never divisible by 3. Therefore $p \ge 5$. We have $p | 3^n + 1$, so also $p | 3^{2n} - 1$. Let k be the smallest positive integer such that $p | 3^k - 1$. Then we have k | 2n, but also k | p - 1 by Fermat's theorem. The numbers $3^1 - 1$, $3^2 - 1$ do not have prime divisors other than 2, so $p \ge 5$ implies $k \ge 3$. This means that $gcd(2n, p - 1) \ge k \ge 3$, and therefore gcd(n, p - 1) > 1, which contradicts the fact that p is the *smallest* prime divisor of n. This completes the proof.

18. For a positive integer n let a_n denote the last digit of $n^{(n^n)}$. Prove that the sequence (a_n) is periodic and determine the length of the minimal period.

Solution: Let b_n and c_n denote the last digit of n and n^n , respectively. Obviously, if $b_n = 0, 1, 5, 6$, then $c_n = 0, 1, 5, 6$ and $a_n = 0, 1, 5, 6$, respectively.

If $b_n = 9$, then $n^n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and consequently $a_n = 9$. If $b_n = 4$, then $n^n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and consequently $a_n = 6$.

If $b_n = 2, 3, 7$, or 8, then the last digits of n^m run through the periods: 2 - 4 - 8 - 6, 3 - 9 - 7 - 1, 7 - 9 - 3 - 1 or 8 - 4 - 2 - 6, respectively. If $b_n = 2$ or $b_n = 8$, then $n^n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $a_n = 6$.

In the remaining cases $b_n = 3$ or $b_n = 7$, if $n \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{4}$, then so is n^n .

If $b_n = 3$, then $n \equiv 3 \pmod{20}$ or $n \equiv 13 \pmod{20}$ and $n^n \equiv 7 \pmod{20}$ or $n^n \equiv 13 \pmod{20}$, so $a_n = 7$ or $a_n = 3$, respectively.

If $b_n = 7$, then $n \equiv 7 \pmod{20}$ or $n \equiv 17 \pmod{20}$ and $n^n \equiv 3 \pmod{20}$ or $n^n \equiv 17 \pmod{20}$, so $a_n = 3$ or $a_n = 7$, respectively.

Finally, we conclude that the sequence (a_n) has the following period of length 20:

1 - 6 - 7 - 6 - 5 - 6 - 3 - 6 - 9 - 0 - 1 - 6 - 3 - 6 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 6 - 9 - 0

19. Does there exist a sequence a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots of positive integers such that the sum of every *n* consecutive elements is divisible by n^2 for every positive integer *n*?

Answer: Yes. One such sequence begins 1, 3, 5, 55, 561, 851, 63253, 110055,....

Solution: We will show that whenever we have positive integers a_1, \ldots, a_k such that $n^2 | a_{i+1} + \cdots + a_{i+n}$ for every $n \le k$ and $i \le k - n$, then it is possible to choose a_{k+1} such that $n^2 | a_{i+1} + \cdots + a_{i+n}$ for every $n \le k + 1$ and $i \le k + 1 - n$. This directly implies the positive answer to the problem because we can start constructing the sequence from any single positive integer.

To obtain the necessary property, it is sufficient for a_{k+1} to satisfy

$$a_{k+1} \equiv -(a_{k-n+2} + \dots + a_k) \pmod{n^2}$$

for every $n \le k + 1$. This is a system of k + 1 congruences.

Note first that, for any prime p and positive integer l such that $p^l \leq k + 1$, if the congruence with module p^{2l} is satisfied then also the congruence with module $p^{2(l-1)}$ is satisfied. To see this, group the last p^l elements of a_1, \ldots, a_{k+1} into p groups of p^{l-1} consecutive elements. By choice of a_1, \ldots, a_k , the sums computed for the first p-1 groups are all divisible by $p^{2(l-1)}$. By assumption, the sum of the elements in all p groups is divisible by p^{2l} . Hence the sum of the remaining p^{l-1} elements, that is $a_{k-p^{l-1}+2} + \cdots + a_{k+1}$, is divisible by $p^{2(l-1)}$.

Secondly, note that, for any relatively prime positive integers c, d such that $cd \le k+1$, if the congruences both with module c^2 and module d^2 hold then also the congruence with module $(cd)^2$ holds. To see this, group the last cd elements of a_1, \ldots, a_{k+1} into d groups of c consecutive elements, as well as into c groups of d consecutive elements. Using the choice of a_1, \ldots, a_k and the assumption together, we get that the sum of the last cd elements of a_1, \ldots, a_{k+1} is divisible by both c^2 and d^2 . Hence this sum is divisible by $(cd)^2$.

The two observations let us reject all congruences except for the ones with module being the square of a prime power p^l such that $p^{l+1} > k + 1$. The resulting system has pairwise relatively prime modules and hence possesses a solution by the Chinese Remainder Theorem.

20. *A* 12-digit positive integer consisting only of digits 1, 5 and 9 is divisible by 37. Prove that the sum of its digits is not equal to 76.

Solution: Let *N* be the initial number. Assume that its digit sum is equal to 76.

The key observation is that $3 \cdot 37 = 111$, and therefore $27 \cdot 37 = 999$. Thus we have a divisibility test similar to the one for divisibility by 9: for $x = a_n 10^{3n} + a_{n-1} 10^{3(n-1)} + \cdots + a_1 10^3 + a_0$, we have $x \equiv a_n + a_{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 \pmod{37}$. In other words, if we take the digits of *x* in groups of three and sum these groups, we obtain a number congruent to *x* modulo 37.

The observation also implies that A = 111 111 111 111 is divisible by 37. Therefore the number N - A is divisible by 37, and since it consists of the digits 0, 4 and 8, it is divisible by 4. The sum of the digits of N - A equals 76 - 12 = 64. Therefore the number $\frac{1}{4}(N - A)$ contains only the digits 0, 1, 2; it is divisible by 37; and its digits sum up to 16. Applying our divisibility test to this number, we sum four three-digit groups consisting of the digits 0, 1, 2 only. No digits will be carried, and each digit of the sum *S* is at most 8. Also *S* is divisible by 37, and its digits sum up to 16. Since $S \equiv 16 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $37 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, we have $S/37 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Therefore S = 37(3k + 1), that is, *S* is one of 037, 148, 259, 370, 481, 592, 703, 814, 925; but each of these either contains the digit 9 or does not have a digit sum of 16.