Problem 1. Prove that there exist two functions

$$f,g:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R},$$

such that $f \circ g$ is strictly decreasing, while $g \circ f$ is strictly increasing.

(POLAND) ANDRZEJ KOMISARSKI & MARCIN KUCZMA

Solution. Let

•
$$A = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\left[-2^{2k+1}, -2^{2k} \right] \bigcup \left(2^{2k}, 2^{2k+1} \right] \right);$$

• $B = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\left[-2^{2k}, -2^{2k-1} \right] \bigcup \left(2^{2k-1}, 2^{2k} \right] \right).$

Thus A = 2B, B = 2A, A = -A, B = -B, $A \cap B = \emptyset$, and finally $A \cup B \cup \{0\} = \mathbb{R}$. Let us take

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{for } x \in A; \\ -x & \text{for } x \in B; \\ 0 & \text{for } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Take g(x) = 2f(x). Thus f(g(x)) = f(2f(x)) = -2x and g(f(x)) = 2f(f(x)) = 2x.

Problem 2. Determine all positive integers *n* for which there exists a polynomial f(x) with real coefficients, with the following properties:

- for each integer k, the number f(k) is an integer if and only if k is not divisible by n;
- (2) the degree of f is less than n.

(HUNGARY) GÉZA KÓS

Solution. We will show that such polynomial exists if and only if n = 1 or n is a power of a prime.

We will use two known facts stated in Lemmata 1 and 2.

LEMMA 1. If p^a is a power of a prime and k is an integer, then $\frac{(k-1)(k-2)\dots(k-p^a+1)}{(p^a-1)!}$ is divisible by p if and only if k is not divisible by p^a .

Proof. First suppose that $p^a | k$ and consider

$$\frac{(k-1)(k-2)\cdots(k-p^a+1)}{(p^a-1)!} = \frac{k-1}{p^a-1} \cdot \frac{k-2}{p^a-2} \cdots \frac{k-p^a+1}{1}$$

In every fraction on the right-hand side, p has the same maximal exponent in the numerator as in the denominator.

Therefore, the product (which is an integer) is not divisible by p.

Now suppose that $p^a \nmid k$. We have

$$\frac{(k-1)(k-2)\cdots(k-p^a+1)}{(p^a-1)!} = \frac{p^a}{k} \cdot \frac{k(k-1)\cdots(k-p^a+1)}{(p^a)!}$$

The last fraction is an integer. In the fraction $\frac{p^a}{k}$, the denominator k is not divisible by p^a .

LEMMA 2. If g(x) is a polynomial with degree less than n then

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{n} (-1)^{\ell} \binom{n}{\ell} g(x+n-\ell) = 0$$

Proof. Apply induction on *n*. For n = 1 then g(x) is a constant and

$$\binom{1}{0}g(x+1) - \binom{1}{1}g(x) = g(x+1) - g(x) = 0.$$

Now assume that n > 1 and the Lemma holds for n-1. Let h(x) = g(x+1) - g(x); the degree of h is less than the degree of g, so the induction hypothesis applies for g and n-1:

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{\ell} \binom{n-1}{\ell} h(x+n-1-\ell) = 0$$
$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{\ell} \binom{n-1}{\ell} (g(x+n-\ell) - g(x+n-1-\ell)) = 0$$
$$\binom{n-1}{0} g(x+n) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{\ell} \binom{n-1}{\ell-1} + \binom{n-1}{\ell} g(x+n-\ell) - (-1)^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{n-1} g(x) = 0$$
$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{n} (-1)^{\ell} \binom{n}{\ell} g(x+n-\ell) = 0.$$

LEMMA 3. If *n* has at least two distinct prime divisors then the greatest common divisor of $\binom{n}{1}, \binom{n}{2}, \dots, \binom{n}{n-1}$ is 1.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that *p* is a common prime divisor of $\binom{n}{1}, \ldots, \binom{n}{n-1}$. In particular, $p \mid \binom{n}{1} = n$. Let *a* be the exponent of *p* in the prime factorization of *n*. Since *n* has at least two prime divisors, we have $1 < p^a < n$. Hence, $\binom{n}{p^{a-1}}$ and $\binom{n}{p^a}$ are listed among $\binom{n}{1}, \ldots, \binom{n}{n-1}$ and thus $p \mid \binom{n}{p^a}$ and $p \mid \binom{n}{p^{a-1}}$. But then *p* divides $\binom{n}{p^a} - \binom{n}{p^{a-1}} = \binom{n-1}{p^{a-1}}$, which contradicts Lemma 1.

Next we construct the polynomial f(x) when n = 1 or n is a power of a prime.

For n = 1, $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}$ is such a polynomial.

If $n = p^a$ where p is a prime and a is a positive integer then let

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{p} \binom{x-1}{p^a - 1} = \frac{1}{p} \cdot \frac{(x-1)(x-2)\cdots(x-p^a + 1)}{(p^a - 1)!}.$$

The degree of this polynomial is $p^a - 1 = n - 1$.

The number $\frac{(k-1)(k-2)\cdots(k-p^a+1)}{(p^a-1)!}$ is an integer for any integer *k*, and, by Lemma 1, it is divisible by *p* if and only if *k* is not divisible by $p^a = n$.

Finally we prove that if *n* has at least two prime divisors then no polynomial f(x) satisfies (1,2). Suppose that some polynomial f(x) satisfies (1,2), and apply Lemma 2 for g = f and x = -k where $1 \le k \le n - 1$. We get that

$$\binom{n}{k}f(0) = \sum_{0 \le \ell \le n, \, \ell \ne k} (-1)^{k-\ell} \binom{n}{\ell} f(-k+\ell)$$

Since f(-k), ..., f(-1) and f(1), ..., f(n-k) are all integers, we conclude that $\binom{n}{k} f(0)$ is an integer for every $1 \le k \le n-1$.

By dint of Lemma 3, the greatest common divisor of $\binom{n}{1}, \binom{n}{2}, \dots, \binom{n}{n-1}$ is 1. Hence, there will exist some integers u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1} for which $u_1\binom{n}{1} + \dots + u_{n-1}\binom{n}{n-1} = 1$. Then

$$f(0) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} u_k \binom{n}{k}\right) f(0) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} u_k \binom{n}{k} f(0)$$

is a sum of integers. This contradicts the fact that f(0) is not an integer. So such polynomial f(x) does not exist.

Alternative Solution. (I. Bogdanov) We claim the answer is $n = p^{\alpha}$ for some prime *p* and nonnegative α .

LEMMA. For every integers $a_1, ..., a_n$ there exists an integervalued polynomial P(x) of degree < n such that $P(k) = a_k$ for all $1 \le k \le n$.

Proof. Induction on *n*. For the base case n = 1 one may set $P(x) = a_1$. For the induction step, suppose that the polynomial $P_1(x)$ satisfies the desired property for all $1 \le k \le n - 1$. Then set $P(x) = P_1(x) + (a_n - P_1(n)) \binom{x-1}{n-1}$; since $\binom{k-1}{n-1} = 0$ for $1 \le k \le n - 1$ and $\binom{n-1}{n-1} = 1$, the polynomial P(x) is a sought one.

Now, if for some *n* there exists some polynomial f(x) satisfying the problem conditions, one may choose some integer-valued polynomial P(x) (of degree < n - 1) coinciding with f(x) at points 1, ..., n - 1. The difference $f_1(x) = f(x) - P(x)$ also satisfies the problem conditions, therefore we may restrict ourselves to the polynomials vanishing at points 1, ..., n - 1 — that are, the polynomials of the form $f(x) = c \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (x - i)$ for some (surely rational) constant *c*.

Let c = p/q be its irreducible form, and $q = \prod_{j=1}^{d} p_j^{\alpha_j}$ be the prime decomposition of the denominator.

1. Assume that a desired polynomial f(x) exists. Since f(0) is not an integer, we have $q \nmid (-1)^{n-1}(n-1)!$ and hence $p_i^{\alpha_j} \nmid (-1)^{n-1}(n-1)!$ for some *j*. Hence

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (p_j^{\alpha_j} - i) \equiv (-1)^{n-1} (n-1)! \neq 0 \pmod{p_j^{\alpha_j}},$$

therefore $f(p_i^{\alpha_i})$ is not integer, too. By the condition (i), this means that $n \mid p_i^{\alpha_i}$, and hence *n* should be a power of a prime.

2. Now let us construct a desired polynomial f(x) for any power of a prime $n = p^{\alpha}$. We claim that the polynomial

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{p} \binom{x-1}{n-1} = \frac{n}{px} \binom{x}{n}$$

fits. Actually, consider some integer *x*. From the first representation, the denominator of the irreducible form of f(x) may be 1 or *p* only. If $p^{\alpha} \nmid x$, then the prime decomposition of the fraction n/(px) contains *p* with a nonnegative exponent; hence f(x) is integer. On the other hand, if $n = p^{\alpha} \mid x$, then the numbers x-1, x-2, ..., x-(n-1) contain the same exponents of primes as the numbers n-1, n-2, ..., 1 respectively; hence the number

$$\binom{x-1}{n-1} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (x-i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (n-i)}$$

is not divisible by *p*. Thus f(x) is not an integer.

Problem 3. A triangle *ABC* is inscribed in a circle ω . A variable line ℓ chosen parallel to *BC* meets segments *AB*, *AC* at points *D*, *E* respectively, and meets ω at points *K*, *L* (where *D* lies between *K* and *E*). Circle γ_1 is tangent to the segments *KD* and *BD* and also tangent to ω , while circle γ_2 is tangent to the segments *LE* and *CE* and also tangent to ω . Determine the locus, as ℓ varies, of the meeting point of the common inner tangents to γ_1 and γ_2 .

(RUSSIA) VASILY MOKIN & FEDOR IVLEV

Solution. Let *P* be the meeting point of the common inner tangents to γ_1 and γ_2 . Also, let *b* be the angle bisector of $\angle BAC$. Since *KL* || *BC*, *b* is also the angle bisector of $\angle KAL$.

Let \mathfrak{H} be the composition of the symmetry \mathfrak{S} with respect to *b* and the inversion \mathfrak{I} of centre *A* and ratio $\sqrt{AK \cdot AL}$ (it is readily seen that \mathfrak{S} and \mathfrak{I} commute, so since $\mathfrak{S}^2 = \mathfrak{I}^2 = \mathrm{id}$, then also $\mathfrak{H}^2 = \mathrm{id}$, the identical transformation). The elements of the configuration interchanged by \mathfrak{H} are summarized in Table I.

Let O_1 and O_2 be the centres of circles γ_1 and γ_2 . Since the circles γ_1 and γ_2 are determined by their construction (in a unique way), they are interchanged by \mathfrak{H} , therefore the rays AO_1 and AO_2 are symmetrical with respect to *b*. Denote by ρ_1 and ρ_2 the radii of γ_1 and γ_2 . Since $\angle O_1 AB = \angle O_2 AC$, we have $\rho_1/\rho_2 = AO_1/AO_2$. On the other hand, from the definition of *P* we have $O_1P/O_2P = \rho_1/\rho_2 = AO_1/AO_2$; this means that *AP* is the angle bisector of $\angle O_1 AO_2$ and therefore of $\angle BAC$.

The limiting, degenerated, cases are when the parallel line passes through A – when P coincides with A; respectively when the parallel line is BC – when P coincides with the foot $A' \in BC$ of the angle bisector of $\angle BAC$ (or any other point on BC). By continuity, any point P on the open segment AA' is obtained for some position of the parallel, therefore the locus is the open segment AA' of the angle bisector b of $\angle BAC$.

point K	\longleftrightarrow	point L
line KL	\longleftrightarrow	circle ω
ray AB	\longleftrightarrow	ray AC
point B	\longleftrightarrow	point E
point C	\longleftrightarrow	point D
segment BD	\longleftrightarrow	segment EC
arc BK	\longleftrightarrow	segment EL
arc CL	\longleftrightarrow	segment DK

TABLE I: Elements interchanged by \mathfrak{H} .

